

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/683,743	10/10/2003	L. Murray Dallas	15912/09033	2843	
27530	7590 08/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP 1320 MAIN STREET, 17TH FLOOR			NEUDER, W	NEUDER, WILLIAM P	
	A, SC 29201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3672		
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action O	10/683,743	DALLAS, L. MURRAY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William P. Neuder	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 6-11 and 15 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2 and 12-14 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 3-5 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents.</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/10/03.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F  6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 8, line 3; it is believed "Fig. 2" should be –Fig 7--, line 30, "Fig. 3" should be –Fig. 1--, line 31, "central portion 21" should be –central portion 22-, and line 32, "lower portion 22" should be –lower portion 23--. On page 9, line 2, "Fig. 3" should be –Fig. 1--. Page 12, line 13, "Fig. 8" should be –Fig. 7--.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gillespie et al 2233077.

Gillespie discloses a well stimulation tool for stimulating a well (figure 1). Well stimulation mandrel 6 has threads on its lower end for engaging threads on the upper end of tubing mandrel 7 that carries tubing string 8. The central passages provided through the stimulation mandrel has a diameter larger than a backpressure plug that would engage inner threads on member 7. Claim 1 does not call for a backpressure plug. There is no specific diameter set forth. Any tool having a central flow bore would be seen to read on the limitation concerning the diameter of the backpressure plug. As

to claim 2, member 7 is an adapter pin that connects the mandrel 6 to the tubing 8. The diameter of the adapter pin at its narrowest point is greater than the outer diameter of a backpressure plug. As to claim 12, the diameter is large enough to permit a backpressure plug to be reciprocated there through. As to claim 13, member 7 is an adapter pin and the pin has a diameter large enough for a backpressure plug to be reciprocated there through. As to claim 14, both the mandrel 6 and adapter pin 7 have diameters large enough for a backpressure pin to be reciprocated there through.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dallas 6220363.

Dallas discloses a well stimulation tool mandrel 20. Threads 46 are provided on the mandrel to engage threads of tubing mandrel 36. Tubing string 28 is supported by mandrel 36. Axial bores are provided through the mandrels that are large enough to permit a backpressure plug to be pumped through the mandrels.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 6-11 and 15 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Neuder whose telephone number is 571-272-7032. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William P Neuder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

W.P.N.